




High Efficiency and High Feed Cutter

# MFH Series Case Studies

High Efficiency and High Feed Cutter

# MFH Series



# Case Study Book

This catalog is based on case studies of how high-efficiency machining can reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a carbon-neutral viewpoint.

# Technology Leads to a Bright Future

This brochure introduces various examples of Kyocera's high efficiency and high feed cutter MFH series from the viewpoint of carbon neutrality. We would like to contribute to our customers' bright future.

## Table of Contents

### Introduction

---

Kyocera's Commitment to Carbon Neutrality ..... 1 ~ 2

### Features of the MFH Series

---

MFH Usage ..... 3 ~ 4

### MFH Micro

---

CASE1 ~ CASE2 ..... 5

### MFH Mini

---

CASE3 ..... 6

### MFH Harrier

---

CASE4 ~ CASE10 ..... 6 ~ 9

### MFH Boost

---

CASE11 ~ CASE19 ..... 10 ~ 14

# Kyocera Group Sustainability

The Management Rationale of the Kyocera Group is "To provide opportunities for the material and intellectual growth of all our employees, and through our joint efforts, contribute to the advancement of society and humankind." We believe that upholding our Management Rationale will naturally lead to achieving our SDGs on an international basis, and that our mission is to conduct business in ways that fulfill societal needs.

The Kyocera Group starts by considering social conditions, trends in the international community and the external environment surrounding our company, and key social and management priorities identified through stakeholder dialogue. Then the Kyocera Group CSR Committee deliberates and identifies top priorities for the Kyocera Group to address so that important issues to be resolved through business.



Read here for website of the Kyocera Group Sustainability




## Carbon Neutrality in Kyocera Cutting Tools Business

Kyocera Industrial Tool Group will strive to minimize CO<sub>2</sub> emissions throughout the entire Cutting Tool value chain, from product development, procurement, distribution, sales, machining, resource recovery and reuse, and disposal.

### "High Efficiency Machining = Energy Conservation"

- High-efficiency machining = Energy conservation with a wide range of machines
- High-quality machining by our new products
- Providing JTA-approved environmentally conscious products

**Kyocera Aims to Guide the Future of Manufacturing**



Five key points for carbon neutrality in cutting tools

**Utilizing DX Technology From a world determined After machining to a world we can see before machining takes place**

- Dynamic tool proposal using analysis technology
- Reduce cutting time by optimizing machining conditions
- Predetermine machining problems and take countermeasures in advance

**Pursuing higher efficiency machining**

- Drastic improvement in productivity through development of high value-added tools
- Active efforts to build new development methods
- Complete tooling for next-generation components and environmentally friendly industrial components

We are committed to carbon neutrality by working with our customers to enhance our technological capabilities, improve productivity, and create added value.

High Efficiency and High Feed Cutter


# MFH Series



Movie


point  
1

Reduce Cutting Force at Initial Impact with Stable Machining, Excellent Chattering Resistance, and a Convex Helical Edge Design



MFH Micro      MFH Mini      MFH Harrier      MFH Boost

Cutting Force and Vibration when Approaching the Workpiece  
(Internal Evaluation)  
(ap : Half of Cutter Diameter)



Cutting Conditions : Vc = 150 m/min, ap x ae = 0.5 x 8 mm, fz = 1.0 mm/t, Dry  
Cutter Dia. DC = ø16 mm Workpiece : S50C

## MFH Micro

Low Resistance and Durable Against Chatter for Highly Efficient Machining



## MFH Usage

Point	General Use Size (Dia.)	BT30
Replaces Solid End Mills to Reduce Machining Costs  Mold SKD	10 12	<b>MFH Micro</b> ø8 ~ ø16
Cutting Force Oriented  Small Parts FCD/SCM    Semiconductor Related SUS	20 25	<b>MFH Mini</b> ø16 ~ ø50
Cutting Edge Strength Oriented  Plate SS400    Frame FCD/FC	50 63	
Pocketing Excellent Side Surface Finish  Hydraulic Component SUS316    Cast Iron Case SC450	25	

## MFH Boost

High Feed Milling with Larger Depths of Cut Available for a Variety of Machining Applications




Movie




Point  
**2**


Wide Application Range for  
Multiple Metalworking Processes




Face Milling & Shouldering




Slotting




Ramping



Helical Milling



Pocketing



Contouring

BT40

BT50

$ap = 0.5\text{mm}$ ,  $fz = 0.5\text{mm/t}$

$ap = 0.5\text{mm}$ ,  $fz = 0.8\text{mm/t}$



**MFH Harrier**

$\varnothing 25 \sim \varnothing 160$   $ap = 1.0\text{mm}$ ,  $fz = 1.0\text{mm/t}$



**MFH Boost**

$\varnothing 22 \sim \varnothing 80$   $ap = 1.0 \sim 2.5\text{mm (Max)}$ ,  $fz = 0.4\text{mm/t}$

## MFH Mini

Economical Inserts with  
4 Cutting Edges



## MFH Harrier

4 Different Insert Designs Offer a  
Variety of Machining Options



# CASE 1


## Mold SKD 61

## MFH Micro



Toolholder : MFH12-S12-01-3T  
 Insert : LPGT010210ER-GM PR1535

<Cutting Conditions>  
 $V_c = 90 \text{ m/min}$   
 $n = 2,400 \text{ min}^{-1}$   
 $a_p \times a_e = 0.3 \times \sim 7.0 \text{ mm}$   
 $f_z = 0.27 \text{ mm/t}$   
 $V_f = 1,930 \text{ mm/min}$   
 Dry



Used Machine : BT40  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Micro**

$Q = 4.1 \text{ cc/min}$

Competitor A

$Q = 3.0 \text{ cc/min}$

Machining Efficiency

$\times 1.4$

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 180 cc cutting

Competitor A

CO<sub>2</sub>  
 $3.5 \text{ kg-CO}_2$

Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**

$2.6 \text{ kg-CO}_2$

Cycle Time : 44 minutes

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

$26\%$

# CASE 2


## Industrial Machining Parts SUS 440C

## MFH Micro



Toolholder : MFH16-S16-01-4T  
 Insert : LPGT010210ER-GM PR1535

<Cutting Conditions>  
 $V_c = 180 \text{ m/min}$   
 $n = 3,580 \text{ min}^{-1}$   
 $a_p \times a_e = 0.4 \times 8 \text{ mm}$   
 $f_z = 0.4 \text{ mm/t}$   
 $V_f = 5,730 \text{ mm/min}$   
 Wet



Used Machine : BT40  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Micro**

$Q = 18.3 \text{ cc/min}$

Competitor B

$Q = 12.2 \text{ cc/min}$

Machining Efficiency

$\times 1.5$

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 732 cc cutting

Competitor B

CO<sub>2</sub>  
 $3.5 \text{ kg-CO}_2$

Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**

$2.3 \text{ kg-CO}_2$

Cycle Time : 40 minutes

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

$34\%$

# CASE 3

## Frame SUS304

## MFH Mini




Toolholder : MFH20-S20-03-4T

Insert : LOGU030310ER-GM PR1525

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 110 m/min  
 n = 1,750 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 0.8 × 20 mm  
 fz = 0.5 mm/t  
 Vf = 3,500 mm/min  
 Wet



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

MFH Mini

Q = 56 cc/min

Machining Efficiency

×2.0

Competitor C

Q = 28 cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 1,680 cc cutting

Competitor C

CO<sub>2</sub>  
5.1 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

50 %

2.5 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 30 minutes

# CASE 4

## Aircraft Parts Ti-6Al-4 V

## MFH Harrier




Toolholder : MFH063R-10-6T-27M

Insert : SOMT100420ER-GM PR1535

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 50 m/min  
 n = 250 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 1.0 × ~38 mm  
 fz = 0.3 mm/t  
 Vf = 450 mm/min  
 Wet (External coolant)



Used Machine : BT40  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

MFH Harrier

Q = 17.1 cc/min

Machining Efficiency

×2.1

Competitor D

Q = 8.3 cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 498 cc cutting

Competitor D

CO<sub>2</sub>  
3.5 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

52 %

1.7 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 29 minutes

# CASE 5

## Head SCM


## MFH Harrier



Toolholder : MFH40-S32-10-4T-250  
 Insert : SOMT100420ER-GM PR1525

### <Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 160 m/min  
 n = 1,270 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 0.5 × 40 mm  
 fz = 0.98 mm/t  
 Vf = 5,000 mm/min  
 Wet



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Harrier**

Q = **100** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
 ×1.9

Competitor E

Q = **54** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 3,240 cc cutting

Competitor E

CO<sub>2</sub>  
**5.1** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
**46** %

Cycle Time : 32 minutes

# CASE 6

## Machining Tool Parts FC300


## MFH Harrier



Toolholder : MFH100R-14-7T  
 Insert : SOMT140520-ER-GM PR1525

### <Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 180 m/min  
 n = 570 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 1.5 × 62 mm  
 fz = 1.1 mm/t  
 Vf = 4,390 mm/min  
 Dry



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Harrier**

Q = **408** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
 ×2.3

Competitor F

Q = **179** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 10,740 cc cutting

Competitor F

CO<sub>2</sub>  
**5.1** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
**56** %

Cycle Time : 26 minutes

# CASE 7

## Generator Parts SUS


## MFH Harrier



**Toolholder : MFH100R-14-6T**  
**Insert : SOMT140520ER-GM PR1535**

<Cutting Conditions>

$V_c = 220 \text{ m/min}$   
 $n = 700 \text{ min}^{-1}$   
 $a_p \times a_e = 1.5 \times 50 \text{ mm}$   
 $f_z = 0.3 \text{ mm/t}$   
 $V_f = 1,260 \text{ mm/min}$   
 Dry



Used Machine : BT40  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Harrier**

$Q = 94.5 \text{ cc/min}$

Machining Efficiency  
 $\times 2.6$

Competitor G

$Q = 36.9 \text{ cc/min}$

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 2,214 cc cutting

Competitor G

CO<sub>2</sub>  
 $3.5 \text{ kg-CO}_2$

Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 $1.4 \text{ kg-CO}_2$

Cycle Time : 23 minutes

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 $61\%$

# CASE 8

## Industrial Machining Parts SUS430


## MFH Harrier



**Toolholder : MFH32-S32-10-2T**  
**Insert : SOMT100420ER-FL PR1535**

<Cutting Conditions>

$V_c = 200 \text{ m/min}$   
 $n = 2,000 \text{ min}^{-1}$   
 $a_p \times a_e = 0.5 \sim 1.5 \times 18 \text{ mm}$   
 $f_z = 0.1 \sim 0.35 \text{ mm/t}$   
 $V_f = 400 \sim 1,400 \text{ mm/min}$



Used Machine : BT30  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Harrier**

$Q = 22.9 \text{ cc/min}$

Machining Efficiency  
 $\times 2.4$

Competitor H

$Q = 9.6 \text{ cc/min}$

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 576 cc cutting

Competitor H

CO<sub>2</sub>  
 $1.2 \text{ kg-CO}_2$

Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 $0.5 \text{ kg-CO}_2$

Cycle Time : 25 minutes

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 $58\%$

# CASE 9

## Adapter FCD450


## MFH Harrier



**Toolholder : MFH050R-10-4T**  
**Insert : SOMT100420ER-LD PR1510**

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 160 m/min  
 n = 1,000min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 0.5 × 30~50 mm  
 fz = 1.0 mm/t  
 Vf = 4,000 mm/min



Used Machine : BT30  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Harrier**

Q = **100** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
 ×4.2

Competitor I

Q = **24** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 1,440 cc cutting

Competitor I

CO<sub>2</sub>  
**1.2** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
**76** %

**0.3** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 14 minutes

# CASE 10

## Mold SKD61


## MFH Harrier



**Toolholder : MFH32-S32-10-3T**  
**Insert : SOMT100420ER-GM PR1535**

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 100 m/min  
 n = 1,000 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 0.5 × 13 mm  
 fz = 0.8 mm/t  
 Vf = 2,400 mm/min



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Harrier**

Q = **15.6** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
 ×1.5

Competitor J

Q = **10.3** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 618 cc cutting

Competitor J

CO<sub>2</sub>  
**5.1** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
**34** %

**3.3** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 40 minutes

# CASE 11

## Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment SUS316L

### MFH Boost




**Toolholder : MFH32-S32-04-5T**

**Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1535**

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 100 m/min  
 n = 1,000 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 1.0 × 20 mm  
 fz = 0.6 mm/t  
 Vf = 3,000 mm/min  
 Dry



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Boost**

Q = **60.0** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
 ×1.6


Competitor K

Q = **37.3** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 2,238 cc cutting

Competitor K



Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**  
 CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
 38 %



Cycle Time : 37 minutes

# CASE 12

## Bearing Cap SCM435

### MFH Boost




**Toolholder : MFH080R-04-10T**

**Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1535**

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 160 m/min  
 n = 630 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 1.0 × 80 mm  
 fz = 0.70 mm/t  
 Vf = 4,410 mm/min  
 Dry



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Boost**

Q = **353** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
 ×3.1


Competitor L

Q = **115** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 6,900 cc cutting

Competitor L



Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**  
 CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
 67 %



Cycle Time : 20 minutes

# CASE 13

## Head FC300

## MFH Boost



Toolholder : MFH40-S32-04-5T  
 Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1510

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 160 m/min  
 n = 1,270 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 2.0 × 40 mm  
 fz = 0.25 mm/t  
 Vf = 1,590 mm/min  
 Dry



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Boost**

Q = **127.2** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
 ×8.3

Competitor M

Q = **15.3** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 918 cc cutting

Competitor M

CO<sub>2</sub>  
**5.1** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
**88** %

**0.6** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 7 minutes

# CASE 14

## Roll SCM440


## MFH Boost



Toolholder : MFH063R-04-7T-M  
 Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1525

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 160 m/min  
 n = 810 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 1.5 × 63 mm  
 fz = 0.3 mm/t  
 Vf = 1,700 mm/min  
 Dry(Air)



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Boost**

Q = **160** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
 ×2.1

Competitor N

Q = **75** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 4,500 cc cutting

Competitor N

CO<sub>2</sub>  
**5.1** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
**53** %

**2.4** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 28 minutes

# CASE 15

## Bearing SS400


## MFH Boost



**Toolholder : MFH35-M16-04-4T**  
**Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1535**

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 200 m/min  
 n = 1,820 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 2.0 × 10 mm  
 fz = 0.44 mm/t  
 Vf = 3,200 mm/min  
 Dry



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Boost**

Q = **64** cc/min

Machining Efficiency

↑  
×2.5

Competitor O

Q = **25.5** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 1,530 cc cutting

Competitor O

CO<sub>2</sub>  
5.1 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

↓  
60 %

2.0 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 24 minutes

# CASE 16

## Table SUS


## MFH Boost



**Toolholder : MFH25-S25-04-3T**  
**Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1535**

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 140 m/min  
 n = 1,780 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 1.0 × 25 mm  
 fz = 0.5 mm/t  
 Vf = 2,670 mm/min  
 Wet



Used Machine : BT40  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Boost**

Q = **66.8** cc/min

Machining Efficiency

↑  
×2.9

Competitor P

Q = **23.1** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 1,386 cc cutting

Competitor P

CO<sub>2</sub>  
3.5 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour

**MFH**

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

↓  
65 %

1.2 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 21 minutes

# CASE 17


## Chamber SUS304

## MFH Boost

Toolholder : MFH25-S25-04-3T  
 Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1535

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 140 m/min  
 n = 1,780 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 1.5 × 25 mm  
 fz = 0.5 mm/t  
 Vf = 2,670 mm/min



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Boost**

Q = **100** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
**×6.3**

Competitor Q

Q = **16** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 960 cc cutting

Competitor Q

CO<sub>2</sub>  
**5.1** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
**84**  
 %

**0.8** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 10 minutes

# CASE 18


## Machining Parts SKD11

## MFH Boost

Toolholder : MFH28-S25-04-4T  
 Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1525

<Cutting Conditions>

Vc = 120 m/min  
 n = 1,360 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap × ae = 1.5 × 15 mm  
 fz = 0.6 mm/t  
 Vf = 3,280 mm/min  
 Dry



Used Machine : BT50  
 Machining Output Average : 50%

### Machining Efficiency

**MFH Boost**

Q = **73.8** cc/min

Machining Efficiency  
 ↑  
**×2.1**

Competitor R

Q = **35.8** cc/min

### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to cycle time required for 2,148 cc cutting

Competitor R

CO<sub>2</sub>  
**5.1** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 1 hour



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions  
 ↓  
**52**  
 %

**2.5** kg-CO<sub>2</sub>

Cycle Time : 29 minutes

# CASE 19

## Hydraulic Part FCD400

## MFH Boost




**Toolholder : MFH080R-04-10T**



**Insert : LOMU040410ER-GM PR1535**

<Cutting Conditions>


Vc = 120 m/min  
 n = 480 min<sup>-1</sup>  
 ap = 1, 1, 0.45 mm (3 Passes)  
 ae = 80 mm  
 fz = 0.45 mm/t  
 Vf = 2,160 mm/min



Used Machine : BT40  
 Machining Output Average : 50%



# CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Calculations



Set the average value\* for machine use, assuming that 100% is achieved when machine performance is pushed to the limit.  
 \*Average Value : Processing mode varies from rough to finished, and the load is not always constant.


\*CO<sub>2</sub> emission coefficient for fiscal 2018 in Japan calculated by the Federation of Electric Power Companies of Japan  
<https://www.fepec.or.jp/environment/warming/kyouka/index.html>

**= CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions (kg-CO<sub>2</sub>)**

\*1 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are estimated based on the CO<sub>2</sub> emission coefficient (0.463 kg-CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh) announced by the Federation of Electric Power Companies of Japan.  
 \*2 Machining efficiency and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are rounded to the first decimal place.

High Feed and  
Large Depth of Cut Milling

# MFH Boost



The Newest Addition to the MFH Series

Micro

Mini

Harrier

KYOCERA Corporation